

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,814	09/21/2006	Masahiro Terada	2006_1253A	2308
513 7590 12/30/2009 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 1030 15th Street, N.W.,			EXAM	IINER
			COLEMAN, BRENDA LIBBY	
Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7C 20000 1000		1624	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/589,814	TERADA ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Brenda L. Coleman	1624			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed

after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication

Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce an earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status	
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 September 2009</u> .
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 Q.G. 213.

#### **Disposition of Claims**

4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-19 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

OV The specification is objected to by the Evaminer

#### Application Papers

7) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

# Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Ackno	wledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All	b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3-) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3-) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3-) Notice of References Cited (PTO-958/08) 4	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	
I.P. Detont and Tentometh Office		_

Application/Control Number: 10/589,814 Page 2

Art Unit: 1624

# ETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-19 are pending in the application.

# Election/Restrictions

- Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in the reply filed on September 11, 2009 is acknowledged.
- Claims 4-19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b)
  as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking
  claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on September 11, 2009.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

 Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a 3,5-dihydro-4H-dinaphth[2,1-c:1'2'-e]azepine-4carboximidamide ring of formula (I), does not reasonably provide enablement for all tricylic

Art Unit: 1624

and pentacyclic ring systems of formula (I). The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

HOW TO MAKE: In evaluating the enablement question, several factors are to be considered. *In re* Wands, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (Fed. Cir. 1988); *Ex parte Forman*, 230 USPQ 546. The factors include: 1) The nature of the invention, 2) the state of the prior art, 3) the predictability or lack thereof in the art, 4) the amount of direction or guidance present, 5) the presence or absence of working examples, 6) the breadth of the claims, and 7) the quantity of experimentation needed.

The nature of the invention in the instant case, has claims which embrace compounds of the formula (I), where  $X^1$ ,  $X^2$ ,  $X^3$ ,  $X^4$ ,  $X^5$ ,  $X^6$ ,  $X^7$  and  $X^8$  in formula (I) is a carbon atom and  $R^1$ ,  $R^2$ ,  $R^3$ ,  $R^4$ ,  $R^5$ ,  $R^6$ ,  $R^7$ ,  $R^8$ ,  $R^9$ ,  $R^{10}$ ,  $R^{11}$ ,  $R^{12}$ ,  $R^{13}$ ,  $R^{14}$  and  $R^{15}$  take  $R^{13}$ ,  $R^{14}$  and  $R^{15}$  taken together form a ring. The magnitude of possible ring systems are not described in the disclosure in such a way the one of ordinary skill in the art would no how to prepare the various compounds suggested by claims 1-3. For example where are the starting materials for the preparation of compounds where the  $R^4$  and  $R^{14}$  forms a ring, or  $R^4$  and  $R^6$  forms a ring, etc. In view of the lack of direction provided in the specification regarding starting materials, the lack of working examples, and the general unpredictability of chemical reactions, it would take an undue amount of experimentation for one skilled in the art to make the claimed compounds and therefore practice the invention.

The instant specification teaches 11 examples where  $X^1$ ,  $X^2$ ,  $X^3$ ,  $X^4$ ,  $X^5$ ,  $X^6$ ,  $X^7$  and  $X^8$  in formula (I) are all carbon atoms and  $R^{12}$  and  $R^{14}$  as well as  $R^{13}$  and  $R^{15}$  taken

Application/Control Number: 10/589,814 Page 4

Art Unit: 1624

together for a phenyl ring. Each of these examples only possess a 3,5-disubstituted 3,5-dihydro-4H-dinaphth[2,1-c:1'2'-e]azepine-4-carboximidamide with no other substitution on the pentacyclic ring system.

In view of the lack of direction provided in the specification regarding starting materials, the lack of working examples, and the general unpredictability of chemical reactions, it would take an undue amount of experimentation for one skilled in the art to make the claimed compounds and therefore practice the invention. To be enabling, the specification of a patent must teach those skilled in the art how to make and use the scope of the claimed invention without undue experimentation. The applicants' are not entitled to preempt the efforts of others. The test for determining compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 112, is whether the applicants have clearly defined their invention.

Patent Protection is granted in return for an enabling disclosure of an invention, not for vague intimations of general ideas that may or may not be workable. Tossing out the mere germ of an idea does not constitute enabling disclosure. Genentech Inc. v. Novo Nordisk 42 USPQ2d 1001.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The following reasons apply:
  - Claim 1 and claims dependent thereon are vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the range R<sup>4</sup> to R<sup>15</sup> which is a range which does not

Application/Control Number: 10/589,814 Page 5

Art Unit: 1624

particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention, i.e. it fails to indicate that which is embraced by the range. The definitions of each individual variable must be clearly set forth herein.

- b. Claim 1 and claims dependent thereon are vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the range R¹ to R¹⁵ which is a range which does not particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention, i.e. it fails to indicate that which is embraced by the range. The definitions of each individual variable must be clearly set forth herein.
- c. Claim 1 and claims dependent thereon are vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the range  $X^1$  to  $X^8$  which is a range which does not particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention, i.e. it fails to indicate that which is embraced by the range. The definitions of each individual variable must be clearly set forth herein.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by ABOUL-ENEIN et al., Drug Design and Delivery. Aboul-enein teaches the compounds of formula I where R¹ and R² taken together form a 3-(1,2,4-triazolyl) ring

Application/Control Number: 10/589,814

Art Unit: 1624



as set forth in example 10c of Table III.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brenda L. Coleman whose telephone number is 571-272-0665. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Brenda L. Coleman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1624